XIIIth Meeting on Lattice Parton Physics from Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET 2026)

Probing Instanton Dynamics in the Pion Vector Form Factor with Gradient Flow

Vaibhav Chahar, Piotr Korcyl
Jagiellonian University, Poland

LaMET 2026

Introduction

- QCD is a non-Abelian gauge theory based on the SU(3) color symmetry group.
  It describes interactions between quarks and gluons.
- The QCD vacuum is not empty, as it contains topologically non-trivial gluon field configurations.

- Instantons are localized, classical solutions of the Euclidean Yang–Mills equations.
- They describe **tunneling** between distinct classical QCD vacua.



R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi,Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 172 (1976)

Vainshtein, A. I.; Zakharov, V. I.; Novikov, V. A.; Shifman, M. A. “ABC of Instantons.” *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **25** (1982): 195–215.

Wilson Flow (Gradient Flow)

- The QCD vacuum on the lattice is full of UV noise, tiny fluctuations that obscure physical, topological structures.

- Wilson flow is a process that evolves lattice gauge fields $U_\mu(x)$ along a continuous "flow time" ***t*** by solving:

$$\frac{dU_\mu(x,t)}{dt} = -g_0^2 \left\{ \frac{\partial S_g[U(t)]}{\partial U_\mu(x,t)} \right\} U_\mu(x,t), \qquad U_\mu(x,0) = U_\mu(x),$$

- The gauge fields become smoother as ***t*** increases, with small-scale noise diffused away.

- Applications in Lattice Field Theory:
  - Topological Charge and Susceptibility
  - Scale Setting
  - Improve Signal to noise

M. Luscher, "Properties and uses of the Wilson flow in lattice QCD", 23 June 2010, arXiv:1006.4518v1 [hep-lat];
Mattia Bruno, Tomasz Korzec, Stefan Schaefer Phys.Rev.D 95 (2017) 074504 arXiv: 1608.08900 [hep-lat]

Wilson Flow and Instantons

- Each instanton carries a topological charge corresponding to tunneling between degenerate QCD vacua.

$$Q = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}$$

- At **small flow times**, UV fluctuations are suppressed, but physical long-distance structures remain intact.
- At **moderate flow,** instanton-like objects appear as **coherent lumps** in the topological charge.
- If the flow time is too long, instantons may shrink and annihilate (over-smoothing), so an **optimal range of flow time** is chosen.
- In this work, we study hadronic matrix elements directly at finite Wilson flow time $t > 0$, and understand how they change as the flow time is varied.

Andreas Athinodorou, Philippe Boucaud, Feliciano de Soto, José Rodríguez-Quintero and Savvas Zafeiropoulos EPJ Web Conf., 175 (2018) 12011

Instantons and Pion Form Factors

- The **Instanton Liquid Model (ILM)** provides a mathematical framework to describe this complex vacuum by modeling it as an ensemble of interacting instantons and anti-instantons.
- One particularly insightful observable is the **pion electromagnetic form factor**, which probes the internal structure and dynamics of the pion as a bound state of quarks.
- The Pure Instanton Liquid Model gives a higher value of the pion form factor and does not agree with lattice qcd and experiments.
- We study the pion form factor for different values for ***t***.



Wei-Yang Liu, Edward Shuryak, and Ismail Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 109, 074029 (2024),doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.074029

Pion Form factors from Lattice

$$O_\pi(x,t) = \bar{d}(x,t)\,\gamma_5\,u(x,t),$$
$$O_\pi^\dagger(x,t) = \bar{u}(x,t)\,\gamma_5\,d(x,t).$$

$$C_\pi^{2\mathrm{pt}}(t,\boldsymbol{p}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \langle 0|O_\pi(\boldsymbol{x},t)O_\pi^\dagger(0,0)|0\rangle.$$

$$C_\pi^{3\mathrm{pt}}(t,t_{\mathrm{sep}},\boldsymbol{p}_f,\boldsymbol{p}_i) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{p}_f\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})} e^{-i\boldsymbol{p}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{y}} \langle 0|O_\pi(\boldsymbol{x},t_{\mathrm{sep}})J_\mu(\boldsymbol{y},t)O_\pi^\dagger(0,0)|0\rangle.$$



$$R\left(t_{\mathrm{sink}},\tau,\vec{p}',\vec{p}\right) = \frac{C_{3pt}^{\mathcal{O}}\left(\tau,\vec{p}',\vec{p}\right)}{C_{2pt}\left(t_{\mathrm{sink}},\vec{p}'\right)} \sqrt{\frac{C_{2pt}\left(t_{\mathrm{sink}}-\tau,\vec{p}\right)C_{2pt}\left(\tau,\vec{p}'\right)C_{2pt}\left(t_{\mathrm{sink}},\vec{p}'\right)}{C_{2pt}\left(t_{\mathrm{sink}}-\tau,\vec{p}'\right)C_{2pt}\left(\tau,\vec{p}\right)C_{2pt}\left(t_{\mathrm{sink}},\vec{p}\right)}}.$$

$$R(t_{\mathrm{sink}},\tau,p',p) = \frac{\langle \pi(p')|O(\tau)|\pi(p)\rangle}{2}$$

$$2\sqrt{E_{\vec{p}}E_{\vec{p}'}} \times Z_V \times \langle \pi\,(\vec{p}')|\,\mathcal{O}_V^\mu(0)|\pi(\vec{p})\rangle = (p_f + p_i)_\mu \times f_{\pi\pi}(-q^2)$$

D. Brömmel et al. The Pion form-factor from lattice QCD with two dynamical flavours. Eur.Phys.J., C51:335–345, 2007.

Lattice Setup

- The study use publicly available PACS-CS gauge fields ensemble.
- Fermionic part o(a)-improved wilson action with Nf = 2 + 1 dynamical quarks and gauge part is Iwasaki gauge action.
- Bare parameters for the ensemble

β	a [fm]	k_l	L/a	T/a	c_{sw}	N_G	M_π	Z_v
1.96	0.0907(13)	0.13754	32	64	1.715	200	409.7(7)	0.7354(37)

PACS-CS, S. Aoki et al., Phys. Rev. D79, 034503 (2009), 0807.1661.

Procedure

Lattice ensemble

↓

Flow the gauge field to time *t*

↓

Tune the κ at M_{π}≃400 MeV for c_{sw}

↓

Calculate the 2 point and 3 point function.

↓

Extract the matrix elements

↓

Calculate the pion form factors at *t*.

Setting up the bare parameters

- Preliminary: set $c_{sw} = 1.0$. A more precise analysis requires tuning c_{SW} and c_A for example using PCAC relations.

- Rather than performing ADDITIONAL simulations at vanishing quark mass, we attempt to introduce a massive renormalization and improvement scheme, extracting the relevant parameters directly from our available ensemble at $M_\pi \simeq 400$ MeV.





Setting up the bare parameters

We see a significant difference between the form factors at tree-level and flow time = $5t_0$



Setting up the bare parameters

- PCAC relation

$$\langle \partial_\mu A_\mu(x)\mathcal{O}(y)\rangle = 2m\langle P(x)\mathcal{O}(y)\rangle \quad \text{where, } A_\mu(x) = \overline{u}(x)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 d(x),\ P(x) = \overline{u}(x)\gamma_5 d(x)$$

For simplicity, $\mathcal{O}(y) = P^\dagger(y)$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu^* + \partial_\mu\right)\left\langle A_\mu^I(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle = 2m\left\langle P(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle$$

$$A_\mu^I(x) = A_\mu(x) + c_A\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu^* + \partial_\mu\right)P(x)$$

We set $\mu = 0$

$$\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\left\langle\left\{A_0(x) + c_A\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\right)P(x)\right\}P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle = 2m\left\langle P(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle$$

- With symmetric derivative $\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0} = \dfrac{1}{2}\left(\partial_0^* + \partial_0\right)$

$$\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\left\langle A_0(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle + c_A\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\left\langle P(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle = 2m\left\langle P(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle$$

- Now we have ratio

$$m(x,y;c_A,c_{SW},m_\pi) = \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\,A_d\left\langle A_0(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle + c_A\,\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\left\langle P(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle}{\left\langle P(x)P^\dagger(y)\right\rangle}.$$

Setting up the bare parameters

$$m(x,y;c_A,c_{SW},m_\pi)=\frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial_0}\langle A_0(x)P^\dagger(y)\rangle+c_A\overleftarrow{\partial_0}\overrightarrow{\partial_0}\langle P(x)P^\dagger(y)\rangle}{\langle P(x)P^\dagger(y)\rangle}.$$

- The ratio is plotted with c_A
- Choose the points in the cone which lies on the hyper diagonal of the lattice.
- The cone is defined using **physical distances.**
- Then choose the points which lies inside the shaded frustum.
- Larger the angle θ, the more points to consider
- Calculate the variance of $m(y;c_{SW}, c_A)$ using points lies in the frustum various c_{sw} and M_π.



$$\mathrm{Var}(m)=\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{y\in\mathcal{C}}(m(y)-\overline{m})^2$$


ca vs var for csw 1.720
ca vs var for csw 1.730
eff mass=0.08471
eff mass=0.16639
eff mass=0.34087
eff mass=0.45917
eff mass=0.11578
eff mass=0.32063
eff mass=0.44355
var
ca
0.00
0.02
0.04
0.06
0.08
0.10
−4
−2
0
2
4

Setting up the bare parameters

- Once we fix c_sw we will know all necessary parameters and could estimate the form factor.
- The algorithm can be applied at t>0.





Preliminary results for parameter tuning at t = 0, for 20 gauge configurations.

Setting up the bare parameters

- Can matrix elements at large flow time be extracted using the same procedure as at t = 0?
- Model calculations suggest that the standard extraction procedure remains valid at finite flow time.
- After tuning κ for each flow time, the pion mass is consistent across flows. However, excited-state contributions are enhanced at larger flow times.





Preliminary model calculations done in collaboration with Dr. Y. Liu... work in progress

Summary and Outlook

- Tune the parameters c_{sw}, κ
- In future, form factor will be calculated with twisted boundary conditions to get access to more momenta between the integer values.
- After tuning the parameters, calculation of form factors with higher values of flow time will be used.
- Extrapolation to $a \longrightarrow 0$
- Use more lattice ensembles for broder result.

References

1. Chu, M.-C., Grandy, J. M., Huang, S., & Negele, J. W. **Evidence for the Role of Instantons in Hadron Structure from Lattice QCD.** *Physical Review D*, **49**, 6039–6050 (1994). arXiv:hep-lat/9312071.
2. arXiv: **hep-lat/9208030**. *Preprint available at:* https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/9208030
3. arXiv: **hep-lat/9211019**. *Preprint available at:* https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/9211019
4. arXiv: **hep-lat/9312071**. *Preprint available at:* https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/9312071

Thank You